

# **English Editing Samples**

## **Contents**

Advanced Edit	2
Premium Edit	3
Customized cover letter	4
Feedback on original writing	6
Editing certificate	9
Journal response letter editing	10



# **Advanced Edit**

For eCementless total hip arthroplasty (THA), can be performed using a large variety of femoral-components with a large variety of designs have been developed. The Anatomic Fiber Metal plus stem (Zimmer) is one of the an anatomically designed femoral components that to can be inserted implanted without cement. The concept of tThis stem was is designed to achieve stable fixation throughby metaphyseal fit and fill. Its has a configuration matchesing that of a the medullary canal of a normal femur, and circumferential the circumference of its fiber mesh coating on the proximal one-third is coated with fiber mesh. The neck of the stem has an anteversion of twelve 12 degrees. The press fit and outcomes of THA performed using a press-fit femoral this stem were have been reported to be good for the primary osteoarthritis in selected Caucasian patients; he however, there were a few reports are available on the outcomes of THA using this stem this procedure in Japanese patients. Since The majority of the most Japanese patients with hips with hip osteoarthritis are have dysplastic hips in Japanese patients. Therefore, the outcomes results of this procedure in Japanese patients might be different differ from those in Caucasian patients.

Therefore, <u>Ww</u>e studied <u>the</u> outcomes of cementless <u>total hip arthroplasty</u> (THA) <u>performed</u> using the Anatomic Fiber Metal plus stem in Japanese patients and <u>examined the</u> possible effects of metaphyseal fit on <u>the</u> outcomes.

**Formatted:** Heading 1, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single, Font Alignment: Auto, Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Comment [A1]: Please verify if these

**Comment [A2]:** Please include the location details of the manufacturer.

Comment [A3]: In scientific writing, the term "Caucasian" should preferably be restricted to people from the Caucasus region. Please check if you simply meant "white."



# **Premium Edit**

**Formatted:** Heading 1, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single, Font Alignment: Auto, Pattern: Clear

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

**Comment [A4]:** I introduced the pressfit femoral stem at this point itself so that the focus of this study is clear.

Comment [A5]: It is important to first set context for the study by providing background information regarding the research problem. Since this description is specific to the component used in your study, I have inserted it after the context for the study has been established, where it is more relevant.

Comment [A6]: In scientific writing, the term "Caucasian" should preferably be restricted to people from the Caucasus region. Please check if you simply meant "white."

**Comment [A7]:** Please verify if these words should also be title-cased.

**Comment [A8]:** Please include the location details of the manufacturer.

with a large variety of femoral component designs have been developed, one such component being a press-fit femoral stem. The Anatomic Fiber Metal plus stem (Zimmer) is one of the anatomically designed femoral components to be inserted without cement. The concept of this stem was to achieve stable fixation by metaphyseal fit and fill. It has a configuration matching a medullar canal of a normal femur and circumferential fiber mesh coating on the proximal one-third. The neck of the stem has an anteversion of twelve degrees. The press fit and outcomes of cementless THA performed using this type of stem were reported to be good for the primary osteoarthritis in selected Caucasian patients; he however, there were a few reports are available on the outcomes of THA using this stem this procedure in Japanese patients. Since The majority of the most Japanese patients with hips with hip osteoarthritis are have dysplastic hips in Japanese patients. Therefore, the results outcomes of this procedure in Japanese patients might be different differ from those those in Caucasian patients.

Therefore, in this study, Wwe evaluated studied the outcomes of cementless total hip arthroplasty (THA) performed using a press-fit femoral stem, the (the Anatomic Fiber Metal plus stem, (Zimmer) in Japanese patients and examined the possible effects of metaphyseal fit on the outcomes. This stem is designed such that stable fixation can be achieved by metaphyseal fit and fill. Its configuration matches that of the medullary canal of a normal femur; the circumference of its proximal one-third is coated with fiber mesh; and its neck has an anteversion of 12 degrees.

Source: <u>Fixation of an Anatomically Designed Cementless Stem in Total Hip Arthroplasty</u> by Shigeru Nakamura, Noriyuki Arai, Takateru Kobayashi, and Takashi Matsushita, used under <u>CC-BY</u>



# **Customized cover letter**

Klaus Höffken

Editor

Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology

Dear Editor:

I wish to submit an original article for publication in *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology*, titled "Prognostic value of resection margin involvement after colectomy for colorectal adenocarcinoma: A Malaysian prospective, multicenter study."

The manuscript describes the results of the latest analysis of the data collected in a prospective, multicenter, observational study conducted in Malaysia that involved patients who underwent colectomy for colorectal adenocarcinoma. I found that the clearance of the distal resection margin is a predictor of survival in node-negative patients, suggesting that the benefit of complete microscopic resection may be limited to patients without early distant relapse. The findings also indicate that a different margin clearance cut-off may need to be set specifically for defining incomplete microscopic resection in node-negative patients. Finally, I confirm the prognostic value of resection margin involvement in node-positive patients.

I believe that this study makes a significant contribution to the literature because the results are based on a standardized, high-resolution pathology protocol that overcomes the shortcomings of previous reports, which typically applied one or two definitions (e.g., the 0-mm vs the 1-mm clearance cut-off to distinguish between complete and incomplete resection). I believe that this paper will be of interest to the readership of your journal because, while the value of standardized inking and pathology protocols is widely recognized, their application in clinical practice remains sub-optimal, leading to controversies regarding the definition and prognostic value of resection margin involvement.

This manuscript has not been published or presented elsewhere in part or in entirety and is not under consideration by another journal. All study participants provided informed consent, and the study design was approved by the appropriate ethics review board. The clinical trial was registered with the appropriate authorities. All authors have seen and approved the manuscript. I have read and understood your journal's policies, and I believe that neither the manuscript nor the study violates any of these. There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to hearing from you.



Sincerely, James Peterson, PhD Ben May Department of Cancer Research The University of Chicago

929 East 57th St. W403K

Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

Email: <a href="mailto:levb@uchicago.edu">levb@uchicago.edu</a>

Phone: XXX-XXX-XXX

Fax: XXX-XXX-XXX



Dear Author,

Thank you for choosing to work with Editage!

Please go through the changes and comments in the edited file.

If you have questions about the edit, have received journal comments, or would like us to check additional revisions made by you, please use the **Post Order Assistance** option on your EditageOnline<sup>TM</sup> account (<a href="http://app.editage.com/">http://app.editage.com/</a>).

We'd also like to know what you thought of our work and how we can do better. Please send us your feedback on the assignment through your EditageOnline<sup>TM</sup> account.

# Acknowledging editing support

Several authors choose to acknowledge Editage's editorial support in their paper. According to prominent publication guidelines such as the ICMJE guidelines on authorship, editing or writing support should be acknowledged in the paper. Such acknowledgments also serve to assure journal editors/reviewers that the English has been thoroughly reviewed, and meets the required standards for publication.

If you would like to acknowledge our editorial support for this paper, you can do so by including the following sentence in the Acknowledgments section of your paper: We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English language editing.

Regards, Your editor

# Editor's report

I have provided feedback on your manuscript through specific comments along with ratings for relevant sections. The key below the table explains my ratings. I hope you find my feedback useful.

Section	Rating
<b>Title</b> An effective title is concise while being representative.	**
<b>Abstract</b> A good abstract explains the aims of the research, how these were met, and the main findings.	**
Introduction This section should set the context for the study, clearly state the research objective, and establish the significance of the study.	***
Materials and methods  This section should completely describe all methods, techniques, and instruments used.	***



This includes ethical considerations.

#### **Results and discussion**

These sections should present the data and findings in a clear and unbiased manner, and address the objective or research question stated in the introduction.

# Conclusions

A good concluding section notes the limitations of the study. It should mention the scope for further research as well as the implications/application of the study.

# Tables and figures

The tables and figures should present data clearly, should be referenced in and correspond with the text.

- ★★★ This section required only a few revisions.
- ★★ Most parts of this section required revision.
- ★ The entire section required significant revision. Please go through my comments/changes carefully.

#### **Comments**

#### **SCOPE**

The journal focuses primarily on articles that answer important research questions in marketing using mathematical modeling. However, it considers empirical papers that report significant findings, papers that describe applications, and scholarly papers reporting developments of interest to marketing. Thus, given that this study employs a field experiment to empirically examine a topic in the field of marketing, it fits within the Aims & Scope of the journal.

## **NOVELTY OF THE STUDY**

The study clearly highlighted that, because of a lower economic importance and impact as well as a low contribution to sales in an economy, empirical studies on the chosen industry are inadequate.

This study is novel because it attempts to address this research gap by investigating the individual and interaction effects of the variables on the financials in a unique market setting. Moreover, an optimal strategy for companies in terms of profitability has also been suggested, based on the study findings.

#### RELEVANCE AND CONTRIBUTION OF THE STUDY

The study's findings could be simultaneously beneficial to the French market, as they can underline some new directions for performance improvement, as well as to emerging markets, as they can serve as a benchmark.

While the topic is relevant in today's scenario and will be of interest to the journal's readership, the paper could benefit from clearly highlighting the managerial implications of the study's findings.



## **SUBMISSION READINESS**

Kindly note that titles should not only be representative of the text but also concise. Even though the title was representative of the study, you might want to consider a more concise title. I have suggested one in the main manuscript file for your consideration.

Even though edits from the abstract through to the conclusion were for grammar, clarity, readability, conciseness, and overall flow of the text, the overall manuscript exhibited a fairly robust structure from the introduction to the conclusion. The manuscript was generally well presented and, thus, required fewer edits than usual for presentation.

Regarding the abstract, kindly note that a good abstract explains the aims of the research, how these were met, and the main findings. The abstract did well in presenting the study aim and results against a good background, as well as stating the methodology. It also did well in adhering to the word limit. The abstract could, however, benefit from stating the significance of the findings.

Even though the tables and figures did well in presenting data clearly, they were not always referenced properly, nor did they always correspond with the text. There was some duplication of information in the main text, which is discouraged by academic journals. I have flagged and addressed the issues in the manuscript.

The conclusion did a fine job of summarizing the findings of the study, highlighting the limitations of the study, and presenting the scope for further research. It could, however, benefit from highlighting the implications and relevance of the study. Even though the heading mentions "Managerial Implications," it does not clearly discuss any.

I have revised the references according to the style required by the target journal. The references exhibited a one-to-one correspondence with the in-text citations, barring one instance, which I have flagged for your consideration.

Kindly pay attention to the recommendations here and in the main text to ensure that your manuscript is submission-ready.

## Quick tip

#### Guideline

Wordiness (the use of many words to convey an idea) should be avoided in academic writing

## **Explanation**

The use of too many words to convey one idea can muddle the message and divert the reader's attention. Therefore, in writing, especially academic writing, ideas need to be conveyed as concisely as possible. One way of doing this is to use concise alternatives to phrases. For example, the phrase "all over the world" can be replaced with the word "globally" or "worldwide."

## Letter from the editor



Concise alternatives can also lend a more formal tone to the sentence. For example, "gradually" is considered a more formal alternative to "little by little" and is preferred in academic writing.

Finally, where possible, a direct verb (action) should be used instead of using a noun and a verb. For example, "segmentation of images was done" can be replaced with "images were segmented," which is clearer and preferred in academic writing.

# **Example**

## Avoid

The managerial implications of the current study. . .

## **Better**

The managerial implications of this study. . .

# CERTIFICATE OF ENGLISH EDITING

This document certifies that the paper listed below has been edited to ensure that the language is clear and free of errors. The logical presentation of ideas and the structure of the paper were also checked during the editing process. The edit was performed by professional editors at Editage, a division of Cactus Communications. The intent of the author's message was not altered in any way during the editing process. The quality of the edit has been guaranteed, with the assumption that our suggested changes have been accepted and have not been further altered without the knowledge of our editors.

TITLE OF THE PAPER

XYZ

**AUTHORS** 

**ABC** 

JOB CODE

ABCD\_1



Signature

Vikas Narang

Vikas Narang, Senior Vice President, Operations-Author Services, Editage

Date of Issue

Editage, a brand of Cactus Communications, offers professional English language editing and publication support services to authors engaged in over 500 areas of research. Through its community of experienced editors, which includes doctors, engineers, published scientists, and researchers with peer review experience, Editage has successfully helped authors get published in internationally reputed journals. Authors who work with Editage are guaranteed excellent language quality and timely delivery.





# Journal response letter editing

#### Reviewer #1: Major comments:

 I think the title should be more specific. I suggest using the MeSH term EUS-FNA (full form).

#### Response:

We agree with the reviewer's advice and have therefore revised the title for clarity and to use the recommended term (page 1, lines 3-4). So, we changed it more clearly.

2. Did the authors attempt to preserve the spleen when performing pancreatectomy? Spleen-preserving pancreatectomy is appropriate in patients with solid papillary neoplasms of the pancreas. I believe that since the patient was young, this should have been attempted in spite of the complexity of the technique.

#### Response

We thank the reviewer for bringing up this point Of course, we Indeed, we had planned the pancreatectomy with preservation ofing the spleen, though even though we thought that it was would be difficult to separate the splenic vein forom the pancreas. However, we were because of bleeding from the splenic vein during the operation, so, we should have it was necessary to performed splenectomy for to control bleeding control from splenic vein.

We have added some commentary on the need for splenectomy in the these information in the 'Case presentation' section:

 The patient underwent laparoscopic pancreatectomy. Because of bleeding from the splenic vein during the operation, it was necessary to perform splenectomy to control bleeding (page 8, lines 3-5).

#### Minor comments:

- 1. The English in the manuscript needs thorough polishing.
- There are many errors involving an e-mail address, spelling, an abbreviation, English medical expressions.

#### Response:

We were rechecked the revised manuscript by the native speakers once again, after we

Comment [A1]: This text was added for politeness.

Comment [A2]: Please note that "we should have performed splenectomy" means "we should have performed splenectomy, but we did not perform splenectomy."



comments, we have had it rechecked by a native speaker of English. As a consequence, many minor grammatical and stylistic edits have been made throughout the text. We hope that this revised manuscript meets your expectations.

Reviewer #2: This case report is very interesting and suitable for this journal.

The discussion should be given as a separate section. Also, the authors' conclusion that EUS-FNA is useful in the definitive diagnosis for such neoplasms is apt. However, they should add information of literature on advantages and complications/risks of this procedure not in a table. The table is too detailed and confusing. Please add this to discussion text.

#### Response:

We thank the reviewer for their remarks on our case report.

With respect to the reviewer's request for a discussion section, please note that the journal's instructions state that discussion of the literature should be included in the 'Case presentation' section of the manuscript. We have therefore included our discussions of the relevant literature at that location (Page 9, Line 10 to Page 12, Line 6).

We have deleted the table and added the tent literature in the text. We have added the following brief summary of literature on this subject in the We added contents in the 'Case presentation' section of the manuscript.

EUS-FNA has been reported to increase the diagnostic vield to 82.4%, which is a much higher value than that reported for CT or EUS [11]. Hemorrhage and duodenal perforation are the most common complications noted; however, they occur in less than 1% of cases [14]. The outcome observed in our case also supports the observations that EUS-FNA is a useful and safe method (page 12, lines 11-16).

Formatted: Font: Italic

need a separate section.

Comment [A3]: Your initial response did not address the reviewer's suggestion of

including the discussion as a separate

explanation since the target journal you have requested formatting for does not

section. I have therefore added this

#### Minor comment:

#### Reviewer #3:

1) The literature review should have been more robust before writing the paper.

This company that lower the control of the co